# Math 246B Lecture 6 Notes

#### Daniel Raban

January 18, 2019

## 1 Subharmonicity and Convexity

### 1.1 Jensen's inequality and composition of convex functions with subharmonic functions

Last time, we showed that  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  is subharmonic iff  $\Delta u \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

**Remark 1.1.** Let  $u \in SH(\Omega)$  be such that  $u \not\equiv$  on any component (so  $u \in L^!_{loc}$ ). Approximating u by a decreasing sequence of smooth, subharmonic functions, one may show that  $\int u\Delta\varphi \,dx \geq 0$  for all  $0 \leq \varphi \in C^2(\Omega)$  such that  $\varphi = 0$  outside a compact subset of  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be open,  $u \in SH(\Omega)$ , and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be increasing and convex. Then  $\varphi \circ u \in SH(\Omega)$  (we define  $\varphi(-\infty) = \lim_{t \to -\infty} \varphi(t)$ ).

**Example 1.1.** If  $f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega)$ , then  $|f|^a \in SL(\Omega)$  for any a > 0. Write  $u = \log |f|$  and  $\varphi(t) = e^{at}$ , where a > 0.

To proof this theorem, we need the following general inequality for convex functions.

**Proposition 1.1** (Jensen's inequality). Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval, and let  $\psi : T \to \mathbb{R}$  be convex. Let  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be a measure space equipped with a probability measure  $(\mu(\Omega) = 1)$ . Let  $f \in L^1(\Omega, I)$ . Then

$$\psi\left(\int f\,d\mu\right) \le \int \psi_0 f\,d\mu.$$

*Proof.* Let I = (a, b), and let  $c = \int f d\mu \in (a, b)$ . If for  $a < t_1 < c < t_2 < b$ ,  $c = \alpha t_1 = (1 - \alpha)t_2$ , where  $\alpha = (t_2 - c)/(t_2 - t_1)$ , then  $\psi(c) \le \alpha \psi(t_1) + (1 - \alpha)\psi(t_2)$ . After some algebra, we get

$$\frac{\psi(c) - \psi(t_1)}{c - t_1} \le \frac{\psi(t_2) - \psi(c)}{t_2 - c}.$$

So

$$\underbrace{\sup_{t_1 < c} \frac{\psi(c) - \psi(t_1)}{c - t_1}}_{=\psi'_{\text{left}}(c)} \le \underbrace{\inf_{t_2 > c} \frac{\psi(t_2) - \psi(c)}{t_2 - c}}_{=\psi'_{\text{right}}(c)},$$

where these are the left and right derivatives of  $\varphi$  at c. Then  $\psi(t) \geq \psi(c) + \psi_{\text{right}}(c)(t-c)$  for all  $t \in I$ . That is the tangent line at c lies below the graph of  $\psi$ . It follows that

$$\int \psi(f) \, d\mu \ge \psi\left(\int f \, d\mu\right) + \psi'_{\text{right}}(c) \left(\int f - c\right).$$

Now let's prove the theorem.

*Proof.* Let  $\{|x-a| \leq R\} \subseteq \Omega$ . Then

$$u(a) \le \frac{1}{2iR} \int_{|y|=R} u(a+y) ds(y).$$

Applying Jensen's inequality,

$$\varphi(u(a)) \le \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|y|=R} \varphi(u(a+y)) \, ds(y).$$

We also check that  $\varphi \circ u$  is upper semicontinuous (since  $\varphi$  is continuous). We get that  $\varphi \circ u \in SH(\Omega)$ .

#### 1.2 Maximality bounds in an annulus

**Theorem 1.2.** Let u be subharmonic in  $0 \le R_1 < |x| < R_2 \le \infty$ , and let  $M(r) = \max_{|x|=r} u(r)$ . Then M(r) is a convex function of  $\log(r) \in (\log(R_1), \log(R_2))$ : if  $r_1, r_2 \in (R_1, R_2)$  and  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ , then

$$M(r_1^{\lambda} r_2^{1-\lambda}) \le \lambda M(r_1) + (1-\lambda)M(r_2).$$

If u is subharmonic in |x| < R, then M(r) is an increasing function of r.

*Proof.* We claim that if I is an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $f:I\to\mathbb{R}$  is convex if any only if for any compact interval  $J\subseteq I$  and any linear function L,

$$\sup_{I} (f - L) = \sup_{\partial I} (f - L).$$

This follows from the fact that the graph of f on J lies beneath the chord connecting the endpoints.

Using this characterization of convexity, we have to show that if  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  are such that  $\tilde{M}(r) = M(r) = a \log(r) - b$  is such that  $M(r_j) \leq 0$  for j = 1, 2, then  $\tilde{M}(r) \leq 0$  when  $r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$ . If we set  $v(x) = u(x) - a \log |x| - b$ , then  $v(x) \in SH(R_1 < |x| < R_2)$  since  $a \log |x| - b$  is harmonic. Then  $\tilde{M}(r) = \max_{|x|=r} v(x)$ . If  $v(x) \leq 0$  when  $|x| = r_1$  and  $|x| = r_2$ , then  $v(x) \leq 0$  for  $r_1 \leq |x| \leq r_2$  by the maximum principle. Therefore,  $\tilde{M}(r) \leq 0$  for  $r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$ . This shows that M(r) is convex as a function of  $\log(r)$ .

If  $u \in SH(|x| < R)$ , then M(r) increases by the maximum principle applied to u.  $\square$ 

Corollary 1.1 (Hadamard's three circle theorem). Let  $f \in \text{Hol}(R_1 < |z| < r_2)$ , and let  $M(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ . Then  $\log(M(r))$  is a convex function of  $\log(r)$ : if  $r_1, r_2 \in (R_1, R_2)$  and  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ , then

$$M(r_1^{\lambda} r_2^{1-\lambda}) \le M(r_1)^{\lambda} M(r_2)^{1-\lambda}.$$

*Proof.* Apply the theorem to  $u = \log |f|$ .

**Remark 1.2.** This inequality is much sharper than what we get from the usual maximum principle applied to |f|:  $M(r_1^{\lambda}r_2^{1-\lambda}) \leq \max(M(r_1), M(r_2))$ .

Next time, we will prove the following result (and more).

**Proposition 1.2.** If  $u \in SH(|x| < R)$ , then the average

$$I(r) := \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{|y|=r} u(y) \, ds(y).$$

is a convex function of  $\log(r)$  which is increasing.